

EXHIBIT 6

1 JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
 2 ROBERT C. GEBHARDT (Bar No. 48965), rcg@jmbm.com
 3 MICHAEL A. GOLD (Bar No. 90667), mag@jmbm.com
 4 MATTHEW S. KENEFICK (Bar No. 227298), msk@jmbm.com
 Two Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor
 San Francisco, California 94111-3824
 Telephone: (415) 398-8080
 Facsimile: (415) 398-5584

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs FAMILYMEDS, INC., a Connecticut
 7 corporation and FAMILYMEDS GROUP, INC. a Nevada
 corporation, f/k/a DRUGMAX, INC., a Nevada corporation

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
 11 FAMILYMEDS, INC., a Connecticut
 12 corporation; and FAMILYMEDS GROUP,
 13 INC. a Nevada corporation, f/k/a DRUGMAX,
 14 INC., a Nevada corporation,

15 Plaintiffs,
 16 v.
 17

18 MCKESSON CORPORATION, a Delaware
 19 corporation; and D&K HEALTHCARE
 20 RESOURCES LLC, a Delaware limited
 21 liability company, f/k/a D&K HEALTHCARE
 22 RESOURCES, INC., a Delaware corporation,
 23

24 Defendants.

25 Plaintiffs Familymeds, Inc., a Connecticut corporation ("Familymeds, Inc.") and
 26 Familymeds Group, Inc. a Nevada corporation, f/k/a DrugMax, Inc., a Nevada corporation
 27 ("Familymeds Group") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") hereby complain against McKesson
 28 Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("McKesson") and D&K Healthcare Resources LLC, a
 Delaware limited liability company, f/k/a D&K Healthcare Resources, Inc., a Delaware corporation
 ("D&K") (collectively, "Defendants") as follows:

29 ///

30 ///

31 ///

FILED
 JUN 6 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E-filing

BZ

CASE NO. CV 08 2850
 COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1) SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT (Two Counts); and
- 2) ACCOUNTING (Four Counts).

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

EXHIBIT 6

1 I.

2 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**3 **JURISDICTION**

4 1. This Court has diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because this is a
 5 civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or
 6 value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

7 **Plaintiffs**

8 2. Familiy whole med, Inc. is a Connecticut corporation with its principal place of business at
 9 2 Bridgewater Road, Farmington, Connecticut 06032.

10 3. Familiy whole med Group is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business at 2
 11 Bridgewater Road, Farmington, Connecticut 06032.

12 4. On or about November 12, 2004, Familiy whole med Group, Inc., a Connecticut corporation
 13 merged with and into DrugMax, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("DrugMax"), leaving DrugMax as the
 14 surviving corporation, and thereafter, on or about July 10, 2006, DrugMax amended its articles of
 15 incorporation to change its name to Familiy whole med Group, Inc., a Nevada corporation.

16 5. Familiy whole med, Inc. is the wholly-owned subsidiary of Familiy whole med Group.

17 **Defendants**

18 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that at all times herein
 19 mentioned, McKesson is and was a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at One
 20 Post Street, San Francisco, California 94104.

21 7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that on or about August
 22 30, 2005, McKesson's wholly owned subsidiary, Spirit Acquisition Corporation, a Delaware
 23 corporation, merged with and into D&K Healthcare Resources, Inc., leaving D&K Healthcare
 24 Resources, Inc. as the surviving corporation and thereby rendering D&K Healthcare Resources, Inc.
 25 a wholly-owned subsidiary of McKesson.

26 8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that from the date of its
 27 formation of December 16, 1987, until December 31, 2005, D&K was a corporation formed under
 28 the laws of Delaware.

JMBM
Jeffrey Mangels
Butler & Marmaro, LLP

1 9. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that on or about January
 2 1, 2006, D&K converted from being a Delaware corporation into a Delaware limited liability
 3 company, and has thereafter remained as a Delaware limited liability company with McKesson as
 4 its sole member.

5 10. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that at all times herein
 6 mentioned, D&K's principal place of business is and was at 8235 Forsyth Blvd., St. Louis, Missouri
 7 63105.

8 11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that McKesson and
 9 D&K share, and are controlled by, an interlocking directorate.

10 **Amount in Controversy**

11 12. The amount in controversy of each of the claims of Familiy whole, Inc. and
 12 Familiy whole Group against McKesson and D&K each respectively exceed the sum or value of
 13 \$75,000.

14 **VENUE**

15 13. All actions complained of herein took place within the jurisdiction of the United
 16 States District Court, Northern District of California. Accordingly, venue is invoked pursuant to 28
 17 U.S.C. § 1391(a).

18 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

19 14. A substantial part of the events, acts or omissions giving rise to the claims for relief
 20 set forth herein occurred in the City and County of San Francisco.

21 **II.**

22 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

23 **The First Agreement**

24 15. On or about December 28, 2004, Familiy whole, Inc., Valley Drug Company South, a
 25 Louisiana corporation ("Valley Drug") and D&K entered into that certain written Prime Warehouse
 26 Supplier Agreement (the "First Agreement") for fair and valuable consideration, which provided,
 27 *inter alia*, for D&K to sell and Familiy whole, Inc. and Valley Drug to buy certain "Products" (as that
 28 term is defined therein).

1 16. The First Agreement provided for a term of two (2) years, commencing on
 2 December 28, 2004.

3 **The First Amendment**

4 17. On or about December 27, 2005, DrugMax (which amended its articles of
 5 incorporation on July 10, 2006, to change its name to Familiy whole Group, Inc., a Nevada
 6 corporation and is referred to herein as "Familiy whole Group"), Familiy whole, Inc., and D&K entered
 7 in that certain written First Amendment to Prime Warehouse Supplier Agreement for fair and
 8 valuable consideration, which provided, *inter alia*, to amend certain terms of the First Agreement
 9 (the First Agreement, as amended, shall be referred to herein as the "**First Amendment**").

10 18. The First Amendment was negotiated and drafted by McKesson's San Francisco
 11 corporate office and legal department.

12 19. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the First
 13 Amendment was executed by Paul C. Julian, who was also a director and/or officer of McKesson at
 14 the time of execution of the First Amendment.

15 20. The First Amendment provided, *inter alia*, that Familiy whole, Inc. and Familiy whole Group were obligated to "fully participate in the McKesson OneStop Generics Program through its
 16 auto-substitution feature and to thereby designate this program as Customer's primary source of
 17 generic pharmaceuticals..." (the "**McKesson OneStop Generics Program**").

19 21. Both Familiy whole, Inc. and Familiy whole Group fully participated in the McKesson
 20 OneStop Generics Program, as required under the First Amendment.

21 22. The First Amendment provided, *inter alia*, that all notices thereunder shall be served
 22 on McKesson Corporation, One Post Street, San Francisco, California 94104.

23 23. The First Amendment in Paragraph 10 (amending Section 8 of the First Agreement),
 24 provided that Familiy whole, Inc. and Familiy whole Group were entitled to audit documentation
 25 pertaining to Specially Priced Products (as that term is defined therein) (the "**Accounting
 26 Obligation**"):

27 ...Customer [Familiy whole, Inc. and Familiy whole Group] may audit
 28 Customer's purchase history and pricing of Specially Priced Products
 charged to Customer by D&K as reasonably requested. D&K agrees

1 to provide Customer with the above-referenced purchase history upon
 2 Customer's written request.

3 24. Beginning in or around February 2006, and until the end of the term of the First
 4 Amendment in December 2006, McKesson sent to Familiy whole, Inc. and Familiy whole Group all
 5 invoices for payment which arose under the First Amendment.

6 25. Beginning in or around February 2006, and until the end of the term of the First
 7 Amendment in December 2006, Familiy whole, Inc. and Familiy whole Group, by direction, request,
 8 and demand of D&K, sent all payments arising under the First Amendment to McKesson.

9 **The Request**

10 26. In a letter dated September 18, 2007, and addressed to Ana Schrank, Vice President
 11 of Financial Services, McKesson Corporation, One Post Street, San Francisco, CA 94104, James E.
 12 Searson, an officer and director of both Familiy whole, Inc. and Familiy whole Group, requested
 13 documentation pertaining to prior account statements, Specially Priced Products (as that term is
 14 defined in the First Amendment), charges, credits, pricing adjustments, and payments (the
 15 "Documentation") (the "Request").

16 27. The Documentation sought in the Request is within the exclusive possession and
 17 control of D&K and/or McKesson.

18 28. The Request was wrongfully refused and wholly denied.

19 29. Familiy whole is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Familiy whole,
 20 Inc. and Familiy whole Group have been wrongfully overcharged certain amounts under the First
 21 Amendment and credits due under the First Amendment were improperly withheld; however, the
 22 Documentation necessary to determine the amount of these improper overcharges and improperly
 23 withheld credits is within D&K's and/or McKesson's exclusive possession and control and the
 24 September 18, 2007 Request for such information was wrongfully denied.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

III.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Specific Performance of Contract)

Count One - Familymeds, Inc. against D&K

30. Familymeds, Inc. incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. The Accounting Obligation under the First Amendment created an express obligation for D&K to provide the Documentation to Familymeds, Inc.

32. The terms of the First Amendment, including the Accounting Obligation, are sufficiently precise, certain, and definite for enforcement by this Court.

33. The terms of the First Amendment, including the Accounting Obligation, are fair, just, and reasonable under all of the circumstances, and adequate consideration was provided by and to all parties.

34. The terms of the First Amendment, including the Accounting Obligation, are mutually available and can be enforced by and against any party to the First Amendment.

35. Familymeds, Inc. has duly performed all conditions precedent on its part required to be performed under the terms of the First Amendment, except as to those conditions for which performance was excused by D&K's material breaches.

36. D&K has breached the First Amendment by wrongfully refusing the Request, thereby breaching its Accounting Obligation.

37. Familymeds, Inc. requests that this Court order D&K to specifically perform in accordance with the terms of the First Amendment by providing the Documentation as required the Accounting Obligation.

38. No adequate remedy at law is available to Familymeds, Inc.

WHEREFORE, Familymeds, Inc. prays for judgment as set forth herein.

Count Two - Familymeds Group against D&K

39. Familymeds Group incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

40. The Accounting Obligation under the First Amendment created an express obligation for D&K to provide to Familymeds Group the Documentation.

41. The terms of the First Amendment, including the Accounting Obligation, are sufficiently precise, certain, and definite for enforcement by this Court.

42. The terms of the First Amendment, including the Accounting Obligation, are fair, just, and reasonable under all of the circumstances, and adequate consideration was provided by and to all parties.

43. The terms of the First Amendment, including the Accounting Obligation, are mutually available and can be enforced by and against any party to the First Amendment.

44. Familymeds Group has duly performed all conditions precedent on its part required to be performed under the terms of the First Amendment, except as to those conditions for which performance was excused by D&K's material breaches.

45. D&K has breached the First Amendment by wrongfully refusing the Request, thereby breaching its Accounting Obligation.

46. Familymeds Group requests that this Court order D&K to specifically perform in accordance with the terms of the First Amendment by providing the Documentation as required by the Accounting Obligation.

47. No adequate remedy at law is available to Familymeds Group.

WHEREFORE, Familymeds Group prays for judgment as set forth herein.

IV.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Accounting in Equity - Four Counts)

48. Familymeds, Inc. incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1

49. A relationship exists between Familymeds, Inc. and D&K, and circumstances

⁶² An unknown balance is due under the First Amendment to FamilyWards, Inc. for

1 unissued and improperly withheld credits and overcharges which cannot be ascertained without an
 2 accounting, the means of which are in the exclusive knowledge and control of D&K.

3 51. D&K has the obligation and the ability to account to Familiy whole, Inc.

4 52. No adequate remedy is available to Familiy whole, Inc. at law and thus an accounting
 5 is necessary to preserve Familiy whole, Inc.'s rights.

6 WHEREFORE, Familiy whole, Inc. prays for judgment as set forth herein.

7 **Count Two - Familiy whole, Inc. against McKesson**

8 53. Familiy whole, Inc. incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1
 9 through 29 above.

10 54. A relationship exists between Familiy whole, Inc. and McKesson, and circumstances
 11 require, that McKesson provide to Familiy whole, Inc. an accounting in equity.

12 55. An unknown balance is due under the First Amendment to Familiy whole, Inc. for
 13 unissued and improperly withheld credits and overcharges which cannot be ascertained without an
 14 accounting, the means of which are in the exclusive knowledge and control of McKesson.

15 56. McKesson has the obligation and the ability to account to Familiy whole, Inc.

16 57. No adequate remedy is available to Familiy whole, Inc. at law and thus an accounting
 17 is necessary to preserve Familiy whole, Inc.'s rights.

18 WHEREFORE, Familiy whole, Inc. prays for judgment as set forth herein.

19 **Count Three - Familiy whole, Inc. Group against D&K**

20 58. Familiy whole, Inc. Group incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs
 21 1 through 29 above.

22 59. A relationship exists between Familiy whole, Inc. Group and D&K, and circumstances
 23 require, that D&K provide to Familiy whole, Inc. Group an accounting in equity.

24 60. An unknown balance is due under the First Amendment to Familiy whole, Inc. Group for
 25 unissued and improperly withheld credits and overcharges which cannot be ascertained without an
 26 accounting, the means of which are in the exclusive knowledge and control of D&K.

27 61. D&K has the obligation and the ability to account to Familiy whole, Inc. Group.

28 62. No adequate remedy is available to Familiy whole, Inc. Group at law and thus an accounting

1 is necessary to preserve Familymeds Group's rights.

2 WHEREFORE, Familymeds Group prays for judgment as set forth herein.

3 **Count Four - Familymeds Group against McKesson**

4 63. Familymeds Group incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs
5 1 through 29 above.

6 64. A relationship exists between Familymeds Group and McKesson, and circumstances
7 require, that McKesson provide to Familymeds Group an accounting in equity.

8 65. An unknown balance is due under the First Amendment to Familymeds Group for
9 unissued and improperly withheld credits and overcharges which cannot be ascertained without an
10 accounting, the means of which are in the exclusive knowledge and control of McKesson.

11 66. McKesson has the obligation and the ability to account to Familymeds Group.

12 67. No adequate remedy is available to Familymeds Group at law and thus an accounting
13 is necessary to preserve Familymeds Group's rights.

14 WHEREFORE, Familymeds Group prays for judgment as set forth herein.

15 V.

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against D&K and McKesson as follows:

18 **On The First Claim For Relief For Specific Performance Of Contract:**

19 **Count One - Familymeds, Inc. against D&K**

20 1. For specific enforcement of the First Amendment compelling D&K to comply with
21 its Accounting Obligation;

22 2. For costs of suit herein incurred; and

23 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

24 **Count Two - Familymeds Group against D&K**

25 1. For specific enforcement of the First Amendment compelling D&K to comply with
26 its Accounting Obligation;

27 2. For costs of suit herein incurred; and

28 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

1 **On the Second Claim For Relief Accounting In Equity:**

2 **Count One - Familiy whole, Inc. against D&K**

3 1. For an order compelling D&K to provide to Familiy whole, Inc. an accounting in
4 equity;
5 2. For costs of suit herein incurred; and
6 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

7 **Count Two - Familiy whole, Inc. against McKesson**

8 1. For an order compelling McKesson to provide to Familiy whole, Inc. an accounting in
9 equity;
10 2. For costs of suit herein incurred; and
11 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

12 **Count Three - Familiy whole Group against D&K**

13 1. For an order compelling D&K to provide to Familiy whole Group an accounting in
14 equity;
15 2. For costs of suit herein incurred; and
16 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

17 **Count Four - Familiy whole Group against McKesson**

18 1. For an order compelling McKesson to provide to Familiy whole Group an accounting
19 in equity;
20 2. For costs of suit herein incurred; and
21 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

JMBM
Jeffrey Mangels
Butler & Marmaro, LLP

PRINTED ON
RECYCLED PAPER

731790v1

Case 4:08-cv-02850-WDB Document 1 Filed 06/06/2008 Page 12 of 12

1 DATED: June 6, 2008

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
ROBERT C. GEBHARDT
MICHAEL A. GOLD
MATTHEW S. KENEFICK

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

JURY DEMAND

10 Plaintiffs demand a jury trial on all issues so triable.

11 DATED: June 6, 2008

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
ROBERT C. GEBHARDT
MICHAEL A. GOLD
MATTHEW S. KENEFICK

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

By:

MATTHEW S. KENEFICK
Attorneys for Plaintiffs FAMILYMEDS, INC., a
Connecticut corporation and FAMILYMEDS GROUP,
INC., a Nevada corporation, f/k/a DRUGMAX, INC., a
Nevada corporation

JMBM Jeffer Mangels
Butler & Marmaro LLP

PRINTED ON
RECYCLED PAPER

731790v1